22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Intervenor.

Pending before the Court is a motion to strike two claims from Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint. Defendant Tri City National Bank (TCNB) argues that all claims against it should be dismissed because the Court, on futility grounds, denied Plaintiffs' motion to amend to add additional claims against TCNB (the Court allowed amendment as to other claims). Thus, TCNB argues that the Second Amended Complaint, which includes

Case 2:11-cv-02060-JAT Document 122 Filed 12/14/12 Page 2 of 2

claims against it, runs afoul of the Court's order.

Plaintiffs respond and argue that the First Amended Complaint had claims against TCNB. Plaintiffs argue that the Second Amended Complaint reasserts those claims from the First Amended Complaint, but does not add new claims that the Court did not allow when the Court denied leave to amend. Plaintiffs go on to argue that the Court denying leave to amend to add new claims against TCNB did not operate to dismiss the claims against TCNB that were listed in the First Amended Complaint.

TCNB replies and argues that the claims in the First Amended Complaint which Plaintiffs argue survived the motion to amend were claims against Bank of Elmwood. TCNB acknowledges that it acquired some of the Bank of Elmwood's assets from the Federal Deposit Insurance Company (FDIC) after the FDIC took the Bank of Elmwood into receivership, but TCNB denies that it and Bank of Elmwood are one and the same for pleading and liability purposes. Further, TCNB notes that the FDIC, not TCNB, moved to be substituted for the Bank of Elmwood.

The Court finds that Bank of Elmwood and TCNB are not one and the same. Thus, any claims against Bank of Elmwood in the First Amended Complaint do not continue to the Second Amended Complaint as claims against TCNB.

Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that the claims against TCNB listed in the Second Amended Complaint are dismissed, and TCNB is dismissed as a Defendant. Thus, the motion to strike (Doc. 57) is granted as to TCNB only.

DATED this 13th day of December, 2012.

James A. Teilborg / United States District Judge

¹ The Court has reviewed the First Amended Complaint (Doc. 1-2 at 1) and notes that TCNB is not named as a Defendant.